arXiv:quant-ph/9706060v1 27 Jun 1997

The Structure of the SWKB Series

David T. Barclay
Dept. of Mathematical Sciences
University of Liverpool
Liverpool L69 3BX, U.K.

June 1997

Abstract

The supersymmetric-WKB series is shown to be such that the SWKB quantisation condition has corrections in powers of $\hbar^2$ only and with explicit overall factors of E. The results also suggest more efficient methods of calculating the corrections.

LTH 397

The supersymmetric-WKB approximation is an excellent example of the way concepts from SUSY quantum mechanics have illuminated traditional non-relativistic QM (for a review see [1]). It has provided a new method of estimating energy levels and wavefunctions which may be superior to the familiar WKB one [1]-[3] and it has even shed new light on the class of exact solutions to the Schrödinger equation [3]-[5]. Higher-order corrections to the approximation are easily calculated [6] and this paper is concerned with the structure of these terms.

In SUSY QM one introduces a superpotential $\phi(x)$ and the operators

$$A = \hbar\frac{d}{dx} + \phi, \qquad A^+ = -\hbar\frac{d}{dx} + \phi \tag{1}$$

so as to define partner Hamiltonians

$$H_- = A^+A, \qquad H_+ = AA^+ \tag{2}$$

which correspond to Schrödinger equations (with $2m = 1$) involving the partner potentials

$$V_- = \phi^2 - \hbar\phi', \qquad V_+ = \phi^2 + \hbar\phi \tag{3}$$

respectively. The substitution $\psi = e^{iS/\hbar}$ allows the Schrödinger equation for V_- to be written as

$$S'^2 - i\hbar S'' + \phi^2 - \hbar\phi' = E. \tag{4}$$

A formal series solution

$$S'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-i\hbar)^n {S_n}'(x) \tag{5}$$

starting with

$${S_0}' = (E - \phi^2)^{1/2}, \qquad {S_1}' = \frac{\phi\phi'}{2(E-\phi^2)} + \frac{i\phi'}{2(E-\phi^2)^{1/2}} \tag{6}$$

can be generated recursively and is called the SWKB series. Its main use is in the SWKB quantisation condition

$$\oint \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-i\hbar)^n {S_n}'(x)dx = 2(n+1/2)\pi\hbar. \tag{7}$$

This has previously been calculated to $o(\hbar^6)$ [6], the first few terms being

$$\begin{aligned}\oint(E &- \phi^2)^{1/2}dx - \frac{3\hbar^2 E}{24}\oint\frac{\phi'^2}{(E-\phi^2)^{5/2}}dx \\ &- \frac{\hbar^4 E}{128}\oint\Big(\frac{49E\phi'^4}{(E-\phi^2)^{11/2}} - \frac{140}{3}\frac{\phi'^4}{(E-\phi^2)^{9/2}} - \frac{4\phi'\phi'''}{(E-\phi^2)^{7/2}}\Big)dx \\ &+ \ldots = 2n\pi\hbar \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

following simplification using integration by parts.

Note that although the ${S_n}'$ are in general part imaginary, (8) will be entirely real once the contour integral is collapsed down into one along the real axis. Apart from being necessary physically, this property of the series is readily proved directly. If $S' = R + iI$, then dividing (4) into real and imaginary parts gives

$$I = \frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{d}{dx}(\ln R), \tag{9}$$

which is zero after integration (c.f. [7][8]). It will also become convenient to split ${S_n}'$ into real and imaginary parts thus

$${S_n}' = p_n + iq_n. \tag{10}$$

In this notation, (9) demonstrates that

$$\oint p_{2n+1}dx = \oint q_{2n}dx = 0. \tag{11}$$

Several other patterns ought to be noted in (8). Apart from the $o(\hbar)$ term ${S_1}'$, which is finite and exactly cancels the extra $\pi\hbar/2$ in (7), all terms involving odd powers of $\hbar$ have vanished in the quantisation condition integral. For example

$$\oint q_3 dx = \frac{1}{16}\oint\frac{d}{dx}\Big[\frac{5\phi\phi'^2}{(E-\phi^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2\phi''}{(E-\phi^2)^{3/2}}\Big]dx = 0. \tag{12}$$

One can conjecture [6][8] that all the q_{2n+1} terms can similarly be written as derivatives and hence that

$$\oint q_{2n+1}dx = 0, \qquad \forall n > 0. \tag{13}$$

This has been confirmed by direct calculation up to $n = 2$ in general [6] and up to $n = 5$ for the particular case of $\phi = x^{2N+1}/(2N+1)$ [8]. The equivalent WKB result is known to be true to all orders in $\hbar$, but this follows from the fact that that i and $\hbar$ are paired in the WKB version of (4) and so the requirement that the quantisation condition be real already covers this [7]. Surprisingly, while formally so similar, the SWKB result will derive from an entirely different symmetry.

Furthermore, even the terms that do not vanish in the integration, i.e. the p_{2n}, appear to be such that the eventual corrections are proportional to E. While it is true that this property elegantly accords with the fact that the lowest-order SWKB condition is exact for ground states (for which $E = 0$ in SUSY QM) [9], it does not follow from it: as happens in the lowest-order case, the integrals themselves could tend to zero when $E \to 0$ without an explicit overall factor of E.

Before proving the first of these properties, we note a consequence of it that is closely related to the proof. One well-known theorem in SUSY QM is that the eigenvalues of V_- and V_+ satisfy ${E_n}^{(-)} = {E_{n-1}}^{(+)}$. To $o(\hbar)$ the SWKB approximation applied to V_+ gives a result equivalent to the V_- one (8), except that the n on the right hand side is replaced by $n-1$. The lowest-order SWKB estimates for the eigenvalues thus preserve the degeneracy between the spectra [4]. It is natural to conjecture that this remains true when the approximation is truncated at higher-orders, but for this to be true requires (13). Specifically, if the series solution to

$$S^{(+)\prime 2} - i\hbar S^{(+)\prime\prime} + \phi^2 + \hbar\phi' = E, \tag{14}$$

the V_+ equivalent of (4), is compared to the V_- version, one finds using the substitution $\hbar \to -\hbar$, $i \to -i$ that

$${S_n}^{(+)\prime} = {S_n}' - 2iq_n. \tag{15}$$

Any non-zero q_n integrals spoil the symmetry between the V_- and V_+ quantisation conditions. Again this only makes a conjecture extremely natural, without proving it.

To prove it, consider the $\psi^{(-)} = e^{iS/\hbar}$ and $\psi^{(+)} = e^{iS^{(+)}/\hbar}$ which led to (4) and (14) respectively. These are solutions to

$$H_-\psi^{(-)} = E\psi^{(-)}, \qquad H_+\psi^{(+)} = E\psi^{(+)}. \tag{16}$$

As always in SUSY QM, the operators (1) relate eigenfunctions of partner Hamiltonians and in particular

$$\psi^{(+)} = A\psi^{(-)}, \tag{17}$$

which directly implies that

$$S^{(+)\prime} = S' - i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \ln(\phi + iS') \tag{18}$$

relates the two solutions.

Strictly however the operator algebra only shows that $A\psi^{(-)}$ is, like $\psi^{(+)}$, a solution to $H_+\psi = E\psi$. But there are infinitely many solutions. In principle this derivation of (18) implicitly assumes that the series solution (5) already defines a boundary condition for the wavefunction $\psi^{(-)} = e^{iS/\hbar}$ and also for $\psi^{(+)}$ such that (17) is true. Since the series (5) is presumably divergent, this is at best delicate. However given (18) one can directly show that it is a solution to (14). It must now be true *order-by-order* in $\hbar$ that the solution (5) to (4) implies a solution (18) that is the unique solution to (14) to that order in $\hbar$. Put another way, if $A\psi^{(-)}$ and $\psi^{(+)}$ are different solutions, they are still related in such a way that (18) can only omit contributions that are non-perturbative in $\hbar$. It is thus adequate for comparing SWKB series order-by-order.

Given the SWKB series for V_- one can now find that for V_+ by expanding the right hand side of (18) as a power series in $\hbar$. And because of (15) one finds that

$$q_{n+1} = \frac{i}{2}\frac{d}{dx}(L_n) \tag{19}$$

where

$$L_0 = \ln(\phi + i\sqrt{E - \phi^2}) \tag{20}$$

$$L_n = i(\phi + i\sqrt{E - \phi^2})^{-1}\Big[{S_n}' - \sum_{m=0}^{n-2}(m+1)L_{m+1}{S'}_{n-1-m}\Big]. \tag{21}$$

The logarithm in L_0 means that q_1 integrates to $\pi\hbar$ as required, but all the higher q_n are total derivatives which can be eliminated using integration by parts, thereby proving (13).

This result can now be used to prove the conjecture relating to the p_{2n}. To do so, note that the p_n and q_n are more closely related than they first

appear. One significant difference between them is that whereas in any order one member of the pair contains denominators made up of odd powers of $(E-\phi^2)^{1/2}$, in the same order the other contains only even powers. Compensating for this by introducing a factor $F \equiv \phi/(E-\phi^2)^{1/2}$, one discovers that

$$p_n = Fq_n + E\alpha_n, \qquad q_n = -Fp_n + E\beta_n, \tag{22}$$

starting with

$$p_1 = Fq_1, \qquad q_1 = -Fp_1 + \frac{E\phi'}{2(E-\phi^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{23}$$

The general result is easily proved by induction using the recurrence relations for p_n and q_n that can be derived from (4). One of the reasons this proof works is that $F' = E\phi'/(E-\phi^2)^{3/2}$. For the same reason

$$\begin{aligned} \oint p_{2n} dx &= \oint \Big[\frac{d}{dx}(FQ_{2n}) - F'Q_{2n} + E\alpha_{2n}\Big] dx \\ &= E \oint \Big[\alpha_{2n} - \frac{\phi' Q_{2n}}{(E-\phi^2)^{3/2}}\Big] dx, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $Q_{2n} = iL_{2n-1}/2$, such that ${Q_{2n}}' = q_{2n}$, is now known to exist. The origin of the overall E factor in the corrections in (8) thus becomes clear.

These new results (21) and (24) can be exploited to make any future attempts to calculate further corrections to (8) more efficient. While the ${S_n}'$ are easily found recursively, the multiple integrations by parts necessary to maximally simplify the quantisation condition are difficult to specify algorithmically. But (24) now indicates that these are equivalent to the much simpler operation of subtracting $(FQ_{2n})'$ from p_{2n}; this leaves a simpler correction with an overall factor of E.

As a varient of this, introduce $P(x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-i\hbar)^n p_n$ and its q_n equivalent. Because of (4), these functions obey

$$-i\hbar P' = -P^2 + Q^2 + {p_0}^2, \qquad i\hbar Q' = 2PQ + i\hbar\phi', \tag{25}$$

where $p_0 = (E-\phi^2)^{1/2}$, and also, because of (22)

$$P = p_0 + FQ + E\alpha(x). \tag{26}$$

In the $E \to 0$ limit, this system reduces to $P = i\phi - iQ$ and

$$-i\hbar P' = -2P^2 + 2iP\phi, \tag{27}$$

with solution

$$P = i\phi + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{d}{dx}(\ln P). \tag{28}$$

Now consider a function $\overline{P}$ defined via

$$\overline{P} = \sqrt{E - \phi^2} + \frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{d}{dx}(\ln \overline{P}). \tag{29}$$

This clearly has the same $E \to 0$ limit as P and indeed $\overline{P}$ ought to be thought of as containing the terms in P that do not vanish in this limit. Furthermore its $\overline{p}_n$ will all be writable as total derivatives for $n > 0$. Thus a strategy for simplifying the corrections in (8) is to calculate the p_n and q_n as normal, but in parallel calculate the $\overline{p}_n$ implied by (29). Using $(p_{2n} - \overline{p}_{2n})$ in the quantisation condition is then equivalent to using p_{2n}, but again the subtraction eliminates the terms normally removed using integration by parts.

Finally, as an aside, we note that if the standard WKB quantisation condition has already been calculated to some order, the SWKB one can be found to the same order without having to calculate the full SWKB series. As is well-known [6], an alternative to using recurrence relations to calculate (8) is to make the substitution $V = \phi^2 - \hbar\phi'$ in the WKB series and re-expand in $\hbar$. However if it is only the quantisation condition that is of interest, the substitution can be made at this level, i.e. after the WKB condition has been simplified. This is possible because the terms eliminated in going from the WKB series to the WKB quantisation condition can still be written as derivatives after the substitution and re-expansion and their contribution to the SWKB quantisation condition would thus be zero anyway. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \oint \frac{V'}{E - V} dx &= \oint \frac{2\phi\phi'}{E - \phi^2} dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\hbar)^n}{n} \oint \frac{d}{dx}\Big(\frac{\phi'}{E - \phi^2}\Big)^n dx \\ &= \oint \frac{2\phi\phi'}{E - \phi^2} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The $o(\hbar)$ term in the WKB series that produces the constant in the quantisation condition thus just gives an $o(\hbar)$ constant in the SWKB quantisation

condition, as required. The main disadvantage of this method of deriving (8) – apart from the inconvenient fact that the WKB quantisation condition is not currently known to higher than $\hbar^6$ [10][6] – is that the result will not be fully simplified, yet neither can the simplification methods proposed above be used here.

That the SWKB series is structured in the ways implied by (21), (22) and (24) proves all extant conjectures about the form of the SWKB quantisation condition. (21) in particular is a direct consequence of the supersymmetry relating H_- and H_+. Whether any further patterns exist in the series remains to be discovered.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Adrian Campbell-Smith for helpful empirical observations and to Chris Maxwell for passing them on.

References

[1] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys.Rep.**251** (1995) 267.

[2] A. Khare, Phys.Lett.**B161** (1985) 131; R. Dutt, A. Khare and Y.P. Varshni, Phys.Lett.**A123** (1987) 375; P. Roy, R. Roychoudhuri and Y.P. Varshni, J.Phys.**A21** (1988) 1587; D. Delaney and M.M. Nieto, Phys.Lett.**B247** (1990) 301; Y.P. Varshni, J.Phys.**A25** (1992) 5761; M. Hrůska, W.-Y. Keung and U.P. Sukhatme, UIC preprint UICHEP-TH/96-18, quant-ph/9611030.

[3] A. Khare and Y.P. Varshni, Phys.Lett.**A142** 1.

[4] R. Dutt, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys.Lett.**B181** (1986) 295.

[5] K. Raghunathan, M. Seetharaman and S.S. Vasan, Phys.Lett.**B188** (1987) 351; D. Barclay and C.J. Maxwell, Phys.Lett.**A157** (1991) 351.

[6] R. Adhikari, R. Dutt, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys.Rev.**A38** (1988) 1679.

[7] J. Dunham, Phys.Rev.**41** (1932) 721; C.M. Bender, K. Olaussen and P.S. Wang, Phys.Rev.**D16** (1977) 1740; A.Z. Capri, *Nonrelativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Benjamin/Cummings, 1985, p391.

[8] S.S. Vasan, M. Seetharaman and K. Raghunathan, J.Phys.**A21** (1988) 1897.

[9] A. Comtet, A.D. Bandrauk and D.K. Campbell, Phys.Lett.**150B** (1985) 159.

[10] J.B. Krieger, M.L. Lewis and C. Rozenzweig, J.Chem.Phys.**47** (1967) 2942.